

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office: Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,583	08/22/2003	Nitin J. Shah	9950	
7	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
NITIN J. SHAH			BOCHNA, DAVID	
9618 DELLA I RICHMOND,	· 		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
,			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,583	SHAH, NITIN J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Bochna	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relicion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTy statute, cause the application to become ABA	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	l		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	•	·	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10 and 14-18 is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4 and 11-13 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration. ed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	_ · · · · · · ·		
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	,	•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Experience of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International Experience of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the c	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ape e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Kane.

In regard to claim 1, Brown discloses a hollow metal fitting 10 for use in making a welded metal joint with reduced residual stresses, which fitting comprises an internal annular shoulder 13 having an inner diameter; and an annular wall which

- (1) extends from the shoulder,
- (2) has a cylindrical inner surface,
- (3) has a cylindrical outer surface whose diameter is greater than the inner diameter of the shoulder,
- (4) defines with the shoulder a cylindrical socket having a common longitudinal axis with the annular wall, and
- (5) has an end surface 14 connecting the cylindrical outer and inner surfaces, which end surface is chamfered so that the inner surface extends beyond the outer surface in the axial direction and so that the end surface, as viewed in a cross section taken along the longitudinal axis of the annular wall, however, Brown discloses making the end surface chamfered in order to provide a pipe joint with adequate structural strength, but Brown does not disclose that the end

Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

surface is concave. Kane discloses providing a concave surface 28 in order to form a weld pocket that will receive enough weld material to improve the strength of the welded joint. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the end surface of Brown, to include a concave surface, as taught by Kane, in order to improve the welding surface of the pipe joint, thereby creating a better weld joint between the connected pipes.

In regard to claim 2, wherein the chamfered end surface terminates in an annular lip (end surface of 14) at the cylindrical inner surface of the annular wall.

3. Claims 5-10 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boice.

In regard to claims 5 and 14, Boice discloses an assembly ready to be welded to form a socket-welded metal joint with reduced residual stresses resulting from the welding, which assembly comprises a hollow metal ring which includes

- (1) an internal annular shoulder 18 having an inner diameter; and
- (2) an annular wall which
 - (a) extends from the shoulder,
 - (b) has a cylindrical inner surface,
- (c) has a cylindrical outer surface whose diameter is greater than the inner diameter of the shoulder,
- (d) defines with the shoulder a cylindrical socket having a common longitudinal axis, and
 - (e) has an end surface 12 connecting the cylindrical outer and inner surfaces,

Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

which end surface is chamfered so that the inner surface extends beyond the outer surface in the axial direction and has a profile such that, as viewed in a cross section taken along the longitudinal axis, there is an angle between the major portion of the end surface and said longitudinal axis; and

B. a metal pipe 10 which includes a cylindrical outer surface and a cylindrical inner surface, with one end of the pipe being disposed in the socket so that the outer surface of the pipe is within the inner surface of the annular wall of the socket: whereby the assembly is ready to be welded by an annular weld which adheres the chamfered end surface of the fitting to the cylindrical outer surface of the pipe. However, Boice does not disclose the exact angle of the end surface. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the surface protrude at an angle of 100 to 120 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis because a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

In regard to claims 6 and 15, wherein the outer surface of the pipe is cylindrical over its entire length.

In regard to claims 7 and 16, wherein the chamfered end surface as viewed in said cross section, includes a straight line 12.

In regard to claims 8 and 17, wherein the chamfered end surface, as viewed in said cross section, is a straight line 12.

In regard to claims 9 and 18, Boice does not disclose that the end surface is concave, however, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the Art Unit: 3679

surface concave because a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

In regard to claim 10, wherein the chamfered end surface terminates in an annular lip 13 at the cylindrical inner surface of the annular wall.

4. Claims 5-8 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown.

In regard to claims 5 and 14, Boice discloses an assembly ready to be welded to form a socket-welded metal joint with reduced residual stresses resulting from the welding, which assembly comprises a hollow metal ring which includes

- (1) an internal annular shoulder 13 having an inner diameter; and
- (2) an annular wall which
 - (a) extends from the shoulder,
 - (b) has a cylindrical inner surface,
- (c) has a cylindrical outer surface whose diameter is greater than the inner diameter of the shoulder,
- (d) defines with the shoulder a cylindrical socket having a common longitudinal axis, and
- (e) has an end surface 14 connecting the cylindrical outer and inner surfaces, which end surface is chamfered so that the inner surface extends beyond the outer surface in the axial direction and has a profile such that, as viewed in a cross section taken along the longitudinal axis, there is an angle between the major portion of the end surface and said longitudinal axis; and

Art Unit: 3679

B. a metal pipe 5 which includes a cylindrical outer surface and a cylindrical inner surface, with one end of the pipe being disposed in the socket so that the outer surface of the pipe is within the inner surface of the annular wall of the socket; whereby the assembly is ready to be welded by an annular weld which adheres the chamfered end surface of the fitting to the cylindrical outer surface of the pipe. However, Brown does not disclose the exact angle of the end surface. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the surface protrude at an angle of 100 to 120 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis because a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

In regard to claims 6 and 15, wherein the outer surface of the pipe is cylindrical over its entire length.

In regard to claims 7 and 16, wherein the chamfered end surface as viewed in said cross section, includes a straight line.

In regard to claims 8 and 17, wherein the chamfered end surface, as viewed in said cross section, is a straight line.

5. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Kane.

In regard to claims 9 and 18, Brown discloses making the end surface chamfered in order to provide a pipe joint with adequate structural strength, but Brown does not disclose that the end surface is concave. Kane discloses providing a concave surface 28 in order to form a weld pocket that will receive enough weld material to improve the strength of the welded joint.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,583 Page 7

Art Unit: 3679

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the end surface of Brown, to include a concave surface, as taught by Kane, in order to improve the welding surface of the pipe joint, thereby creating a better weld joint between the connected pipes.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-4 and 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaunitz, Shaffer, and Blevins all disclose similar couplings common in the art.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to David Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679